#### CITY COUNCIL MEETING June 5, 1991

# QUESTIONS POSED REGARDING SCHEDULED STREET WORK PROJECTS

CC-6

Council Member Pennino indicated that he is aware that overlays are being done on certain streets in Lodi and asked the Public Works Director if like work has been scheduled for iodi Avenue, east of the railroad tracks. The Public Works Director indicated that he will check the schedule and report back on the matter.

#### MEMORANDUM, City of Lodi, Public Works Department

TO: City Manager

City Council

FROM: Public Works Director

DATE: July 9, 1991

SUBJECT: Lodi Avenue Street Improvements

At the Council meeting of June 5, 1991, Councilmember Pennino asked if any street improvement work was scheduled on Lodi Avenue west of the railroad tracks. This memo is responding to that inquiry.

In the 1988/89 Street Capital Improvement Program (CIP), \$10,000 was budgeted for preliminary engineering on Lodi Avenue between Main Street and Cherokee Lane. In the 1989/90 CIP, \$142,000 was budgeted for an overlay. Copies of the 1988/89 and 1989/90 CIPs are attached as Exhibit A and Exhibit B.

In order for this overlay project to be completed, the Central California Traction Company was requested to raise their tracks which are located in the center of Lodi Avenue. After much correspondence and a number of field meetings with Central California Traction Company and their parent companies, Central California Traction Company took the position that they did not have a problem with the existing condition of their facilities. They indicated unless they were under a franchise agreement which required them to re-do the tracks, their position was that they would do nothing. Attached as Exhibits C and D are memos from the Assistant City Engineer and the City Attorney which discuss the problems with the franchise agreement. The only franchise agreement which can be found is a 1905 County ordinance allowing Central California Traction to use Lodi Ave. (and other streets). Since the railroad tracks traverse the centerline of the street, this is not considered a street crossing under the definitions of the Public Utilities Commission (PUC). Therefore, the PUC general orders requiring track maintenance do not govern.

Because of the problems experienced in obtaining the cooperation of Central California Traction Company, the dollars budgeted for the actual paving of Lodi Avenue were removed from the Street CIP in 1990/91. However, the money for preliminary engineering has continued to be carried forward annually. Some surveying work has been done on this project.

The City of Lodi performs an annual condition survey of all railroad crossings and railroad tracks within our public right-of-way. Attached as Exhibit E is a survey dated February 8, 1988. In 1988, the surveys were being done twice a year, however, we have since changed to an annual survey. This 1988 condition survey rated Lodi Avenue within the subject limits as "fair condition". It was noted that the rails had settled and the pavement was raised around the rails. Since 1988, the condition of

City Manager July 9, 1991 Page 2

the Central California Traction Company tracks has worsened. Attached as Exhibit F is the January 1991 condition survey showing the condition as "hazardous". In the calendar year 1991, the City has received two liability claims related to the condition of the Central California Traction Company tracks in Lodi Avenue.

Because of the 1991 annual condition report, the recent liability claims against the City, and the concern of Councilmember Pennino, we have worked with the City Attorney and formulated the letter to Central California fraction Company attached as Exhibit G.

Since the subject tracks serve one of the City's larger employers, Pacific Coast Producers, and since these tracks will ultimately have to be relocated to construct any future grade crossing separation at Lodi Avenue, and since we understand Southern Pacific is contemplating the abandonment of the Kentucky Branch line which runs parallel to Lockeford Street which could serve as an alternate to the tracks in Lodi Avenue, it is recommended that this subject be discussed at a future shirtsleeve session. This item could then be agendized so we could receive the appropriate direction from the City Council on Central California Traction Company's Lodi Avenue tracks.

Jack L. Ronsko

Public Works Director

JLR/mt

Attachments

PROJECT DESCRIPTION	COST July 1988	REMARKS AND FUNDING
° MISCELLANEOUS WIDENING	50,000	
" MISC. CURB & GUTTER PROJECTS	15,000	
° SIDEWALK UPGPADING	50,000	
" HANDICAPPED RAMP INSTALLATIONS (various locations)	15,000	
* TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS		
<ol> <li>Miscellareous</li> <li>Stockton Street at Hwy 12 Left Turn Phase</li> </ol>	20,000 7,000	\$7,000 State of California will be lead agency (modify existing signal).
* TRAFFIC SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS		agacy (indiff existing signal).
<ol> <li>Lower Sacramento Road/Lodi Avenue</li> <li>Lower Sacramento Road/Elm Street</li> </ol>	90,000 80,000	
" WIDENING/RECONSTRUCTION PROJECTS		
<ol> <li>HUTCHINS STREET         <ul> <li>Tokay - Lodi (Construction)</li> <li>Lodi - Pine (Pre-Engineering)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	241,000 10,000	
2. LODI AVENUE Lodi - 700' E/Cluff - Guild (S side)	53,000	City responsible for improving south sick of Lodi Avenue. Work to be done in conjunction with developer improvements.
3. LOWER SACRAMENTO ROAD Operational Improvements, St. Mc:ritz - Turner	158,000	Auxiliary lanes at intersections only (in conjunction with signals).
° ASPHALT OVERLAYS		(In conjunction with biginity)
1. PINE STREET - SPRR to Cherokee	223,000	Curb and gutter work included to save trees.
2. LODI AVENUE - Main to Cherokee Engineering Only	10,000	Project in conjunction with CCT RR rehab.
3. CHURCH STREET - Kettleman to 100' S/Mendocino		
4. HARNEY LANE - Hutchins to SPRR SPRR to 400' W/Stockton Street	48,000 27,000	
<ol><li>LOCKEFORD STREET - SPRR to Stockton Street</li></ol>	26,000	
Stockton Street to Cherokee	89,000	
" MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS		
1. OVERLAY ANALYSIS/DESIGN	5,000	
<ol><li>TOKAY FENCE, GATE AND PLANTER REPAIR AT WID CROSSING</li></ol>	7,000	
° RAILPOAD CROSSING APPROACH IMPROVEMENTS		
1. Loma Drive 2. California Street	8,000 4,000	Design budgeted 1986–87.
TOTAL	1,307,000	

### Streets - 1989/90 Capital Improvement Program City of Lodi - Public Works Department

	Cost in	Work In			
Project	Jul-89	Progress	89-90	Remarks	Account #
Miscellaneous Widening	50,000/yr	3,166	50		33.0-500.01
Misc. Curb & Gutter Replacements	15,000/yr		15		330-500.03
Sidewalk Replacements	50,000/yr		50		33.0/12S.0-500.04
Handicapped Aamp Installations	5,000/yr		5		33.0-500.05
Traffic Operational Improvements  1. Miscellaneous  2. Stockton St @ Hwy 12 LT phase  3. Church St Coordination Impr.	15,000 52 <b>.</b> 000	13,932 845		Project completed, Cal Trans to closeout Convert signals to traffic actuation	33.0-500.17 33.0-500.20 33.0-500.33
Traffic Signals 1 Victor/Cluff 2 Lwr. Sacramento/Lodi 3. Lwr. Sacramento/Elm		89.826 \$0,000 80,000		Listed in order per 1987 Priority Study Waiting for RR to install xing protection first	33.0/126.0-500.88 126.0-500.96 126.0-500.97
Street Widening Projects  1. Hutchins Street  a. Tokay to Lodi  b. Lodi to Pine  Freliminary Engineering		<b>36</b> 1.593		Widening on east side 1/2 blk S/Lcdi only Project adopted in 1962 included some widening S/Walnut, not Io General Plan width	124.0-500.75 124.0-500.99
Right-of-Way (W. side S/Walnut) 2. Church Street - Century to Kettleman	66.000		66	Widening only along unimproved section on west	124.0-500.99 t side
Right-of-Way (1700 bik) Reconstruction CG&SWK 1700 block CG&SWK 1900 block	35.000 250,000 8,000 8.000		35 100 8 8		33.0-500.34 124.0-500.34 124.0-500.34 124.0-500.34
3. Lodi/Guild @ Griffin industrial Park 4. Lower Sacramento Road a. Preliminary Engineering b. Operational Improvements		127.285 5.271. 158,000		City responsible tor E. side of Guild& S.  Turn lanes only (w/signals @ Lodi. Elm)	32.0/33.0-500.21 124.0-500.86 124.0/126.0-500.22
Pavement Rehabilitation Projects  1. Stockton Street		130,000		Estimate is for type of rehab. indicated and may vary w/final design	72 1.07 123.0 000.22
Lodi to Lockeford, overlay  2. Fine Street	176,000		176		125.1-500.35
SPRR to Cherokee, overlay     Lodi/Ham Intersection, overlay	19,000	220,275	19	)	124.0-500.23 124 0-500 37
2 Lodi Avenue a. Preliminary Engineering b Main to Central, overlay	142,000	10,000	142		33 <b>0-500 24</b> 124 0-50C 38
Beckman to Kelly, overlay     Church Street-Hwy I2 to I00'S/Mendoc     Harney Lane-Hutchins to 400' W/Stkn,     Land ford Street.	•	70.952 89,981		to be deleted	124 0-500 39 <b>124 0-500 25</b> 124 0-500 26/27
7. Lockeford Street SPAR to Cherokee overlay		114.991			32.0-500.28/29
8. Cheroikee Lane  @ Kettleman approaches 9. Ham Cane. Hwy 12 to Vine. overlay	50.000	216.420		D Reconstruct LT & thru lanes @ intersection Includes minor widening 33.0/124.0/12	33.0-500,40 6.0-500.91/32.0-500,94
10. Pacific Ave - Walnut to Pacific; Walnut	St &	94,804		MSC driveway & parking lot	33.0/124 0-500.90

Memorandum: City of Lodi Public Works Department. Engineering Division

To: City Attorney

DEG 0 2'88

Frcm: Assistant City Engineer

City Attorney's Office

Date: December 2, 1988

Subject: Central California Traction Franchise/E. Lodi Ave.

As we discussed earlier, we have a problem with the condition of the CCT tracks in the center of E. Lodi Ave. We wish to overlay the street in a year or so and their tracks are wavy and below grade. They should be reconstructed to a straight and higher grade similar to what the SPT Co. did on Lockeford St. in conjunction with our rehab of the street a few years ago.

We met w/CCT and representatives of their parent companies - their comment was that they didn't have a problem with the condition and unless they were under a franchise which required them to redo the tracks on our request, they wouldn't do anything.

I and the City Clerk have searched the files for franchise documents and all we can find is the attached 1905 San Joaquin County ordinance #314 which grants them a 50 year franchise. (E. Lodi Ave was in the County then) It appears the franchise was never renewed although there are some modifications that were approved such as the attached City Ordinance #185.

Our question is, how should we proceed to get them to agree to fixing the tracks in conjunction with our project?

Richard

cc GB/JLR

MEMORANDUM

LEC 1988

DEC 0 3/1988

To: Richard Prima, Assistant City Engineer

From: Bob McNatt, City Attorney

Date: December 8, 1988

Re: Central California Traction Franchise/East Lodi Avenue

The resolction to the problem we are experiencing with Central California Traction's franchise on Lodi Avenue is not going to be resolved as quickly and easily as I first thought. When I read the Ordinance of San Joaquin County, granting CCT a franchise in 1905, I was encouraged to note that it had a term certain of 50 years specified in the Ordinance. There is a case precisely on point, Mann v. City of Bakersfield (1961) 13 Cal.Rptr. 211, which says that upon expiration of a franchise to iay and maintain railroad tracks upon city streets, the city may require the railroad to remove its tracks and may enjoin its continued use of the streets as a public nuisance. This was backed up by a long line of cases such as Vanderhurst v. Tholcke I13 Cal. 147, and suggested that we simply had to declare, as successors in interest to the County, that the franchise had expired and we could then move the tracks off of the street if CCT was uncooperative.

However, my enthusiasm was dampened when I read further into City of Lodi Ordinance No. 185 adopted in 1931. I believe the effect of this Ordinance is not to extend San Jaquin County's franchise, but to grant an entirely new franchise for "... such term, period and length of time as shall meet with the pleasure of the City Council ..." Under these circumstances where no time for expiration is specified, we are under an obligation to deal at arm's length with the Railroad and not arbitrarily to simply evict them from our street. Since the Ordinance contains no expiration date, the provisions of Public Utilities Code §6460, specifying that franchises shall be of an indeterminate period if no time is specified, probably applies. The result is a continuing franchise.

I note that Ordinance 185 in section FIRST specifies that the Railroad must, maintain its roadbeds and tracks "... to the official grade of a?? streets ...", and further that section THIRD of the Ordinance is required to keep "... said rails, in good repair, and shall grade or regrade ... the same with such material as shall be satisfactory to the City Council ...".

This suggests to me that we have to first tell the Railroad we plan to regrade the street and offer them the opportunity to do likewise with their rails. Should they fail to do so, the Council can then indicate to the Railroad its intent to declare the "franchise" (although that word is never used in Ordinance 185) forfeit under the terms of the Ordinance, which specify that the right to operate the Railroad is "... subject to the terms and conditions hereinafter made and expressed ..." This would apparently protect the railroad's due process rights.

Richard Prima, Assistant City Engineer Page Two

Incidentally, I spoke with Ed Thurban, area engineer for the California Public Utilities Commission, Rail Safety Division, telephone (415) 557-0534, who explained that he had been involved in the previous situation on tockeford Street, and it was his opinion that this involved a "gray area", since the PUC has jurisdictions at crossings, but not in streets. I had at first contemplated asking the PUC for assistance in this matter, but that does not appear to be an option at this point.

Please let me know if you would like to set up a meeting with CCT to present our position.

Bob McNatt

City Attorney

BM:vc

CENTTRAC/TXTA.01V

**CITY COUNCIL** 

EVELYN M OLSON, Mayor JOHN R (Randy) SNIDER Mayor Pro Tempore DAVID M. HINCHMAN JAMES W. PINKERTON, Jr FRED M. REID

# CITY OF LODI

CITY HALL. 221 WEST PINE STREET CALL BOX 3006 LODI, CALIFORNIA 95241-1910 (209) 334-5634 TELECOPIER (209) 333-6795

February 8, 5388

THOMAS A. PETERSON
City Manager

ALICE M REIMCHE
City Clerk

RONALD M. STEIN
City Attorney

Central California Traction Company 1645 Cherokee Road Stockton, CA 95205

Attention Mr. Melish

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: Railroad Crossings and Branch Line Within the City of Lodi

The semi-annual survey of Central California Traction Company rallroad crossings and branch line within the City of Lodi has been completed. A report of our findings is enclosed.

Please note that six areas are in a hazardous condition and should **be** repaired immediately. The enclosed photographs **of** the crossing and branch line showing the hazards are listed in the report. Any or all conditions could contribute to **a** major accident, damage or injury to motor vehicles, motorcyclists or bicyclists.

The Main Street crossing and branch line extending from Main Street to Cherokee Lane has numerous chuck holes and settled tracks that cause water to pond. Ponding of the water within the track area has caused chuck holes and pavement failure adjacent to and outside of the track area. Lodi Avenue between Main Street and Cherokee Lane will require an overlay in 1989. It is important that the City of Lodi and Central California Traction Company coordinate a Joint venture to renovate the tracks and overlay the street at the same time.

Please contact **me** within **30** days in order that a field review of **the** listed deficiencies can **be** made and the repair schedule discussed. I can **be** contacted **ty** calling **(209) 333-6740.** 

Sincerely,

Glen C. Baltzer

Street Superintendent

GCB/s1s

Enc losure

CC: Public Utilities Commission, Ed Thurban Public Works Director, Jack L. Ronsko

CENTRAL CALIFORNIA TRACTION COMPANY RAILROAD CROSSINGS

CITY OF LODI

## February 8, 1988

LOCATION	NO. OF TRACKS	P.U.C. NO.	CONDITION		<u>on</u>
			Good	Eair	<u>Hazardous</u>
Tokay Street	1		.Х		
Lodi Avenue	ī	<b>61</b> B-18C	Rail set	X tled paveme	nt raised
Cherokee Lane	1	61B-1.0	Х		
Pine Street	1	GI-13.3			
900 Blk E. Lodi Av	e. }		Х		- Handlinder
1200 Blk E. Lodi A	ve. Ì		Х		
Beckman Road	1	618.0.75			avement
Main Street souths	ide 1		Rails se		X ment low and-
Main Street norths	ide 1		raised n	ext to trac	<u> </u>

Central California Trad on Company February 8, 1988 Page 2

#### MISCELLANEOUS

#### BRANCH LINE

LOCATION	CONDITION		
	Good	<u>Fair</u>	<b>Hazardous</b>
Main - Stockton	Chuck holes		
Stockton - Washington	Chuck holes and rails settling		
	causing dan	nage to str	eet
Washington - Central	Chucks hole	es and rail	s settling
	causing dan	nage to str	eet
Central - Garfield	Chuck holes	and rails	settling
	causing dan	nage to str	eet
Garfield - Cherokee	Chuck holes	s and rails	settling
	causing dar	nage to str	eet

CITY COUNCIL

DAVID M HINCHMAN, Mayor JAMES W PINKERTON, Jr Mayor Pro Tempore PHILLIP A PENNINO JACK A SIECLOCK JOHN K (Randy) SNIDER

## CITY OF LODI

CITY HALL. 221 WEST PINE STREET P.O. 3 0 X 3006 LODI, CALIFORNIA 95241-1910 (209) 334-5634 FAX (209) 333-6795

January 3, 1991

THOMAS A. PETERSON
City Manager

ALICE M REIMCHE

City Clerk

806 MCNATT

City Attorney

Central California Traction Company Attention: Mr. Melish 1645 Cherokee Road Stockton, CA 95205

SUBJECT: Railroad Crossings and Branch Line Within the City of

Lodi

The annual survey of the Central California Traction Company railroad crossings and branch line within the City of Lodi has been completed. A report of our findings is enclosed.

Please note that eight areas are in a hazardous condition and should be repaired immediately. The enclosed photographs of the crossings and branch line showing the hazards are listed in the report. Any or all conditions could contribute to a major accident, resulting in damage to vehicles and injury to motorists, motorcyclists or bicyclists.

The hazardous Main Street and Beckman Road crossings and the branch line extending from Main Street to Cherokee Lane was brought to your attention in our January 19, 1989 and January 8, 1990 report.

In our February 8, 1988 report, we requested that the City of Lodi and Central California Traction Company coordinate a joint venture to renovate the tracks and overlay the street on Lodi Avenue in 1989. On September 28, 1988, we met with you and representatives of the parent companies to discuss the joint venture project. To date, we have not had any response regarding the companies' intent.

Central California Praction Company January 3, 1991 Page 2

Please contact me within 30 days in order that a field review of the hazards listed can be reviewed and the repair schedule discussed. I can be conducted by telephone at (209) 333-6740.

Glen C. Baitzer

Street Superintendent

GB/ts

Enclosure

cc: Public Utilities Commission, Mr. Ed Thurban w/enclosures
Public Works Director, Mr. Jack Ronsko w/enclosures

0191sr.C6

# CENTRAL CALIFORNIA **TRACTION COMPANY RAIL**ROAD CROSSINGS CITY OF LODI JANUARY 3, 1991

'LOCATION	NO. OF TRACKS	P.U.C. NO.		CONDIT	ION
			GOOD	FAIR	HAZARDOUS
Tokay Street	I		X		
Lodi Avenue		61B-18C	Rail se chuckho pavemen	oles a	<b>x</b> t pavement, nd raised
Cherokee Lane	1	61B-1.0	X		
Pine Street	1	G1-13.3	Raised roadway		t at <b>edge</b> of
900 Blk. E. Lodi Avenu	e 1		X		
1200 Blk. E. Lodi Aven	ue 1		X		
Eeckman Road	1	61B.0.75	Rails s	settled nised ne	<b>x</b> and pave- xt to rail
Main Street southside	1		settled	and pave d and pa next to	vement

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

0191SR.05

#### MISCELLANEOUS - BRANCH LINE

LOCATION	NO. OF TRACKS	P.U.C. NO.	CONDITION
			GOOD FAIR HAZARDOUS
Main - Stockton			Raised pavement, chuck holes and rails settling causing damage to street
Stockton - Washington			Raised pavement, chuck holes and rails settling causing damage to street
Washington - Central			Raised pavement, chuck holes and rails settling causing damage to street
Central - Garfield			Raised pavement, chuck holes and rails settling causing damage to street
Garfield - Cherokee			Raised pavement, chuck holes and rails settling causing damage to street

0191SR.05

CITY COUNCIL

DAVID M HINCHMAN Mayor
JAMES W PINKERTON IT
Mayor Pro Tempore
PHILLIP A PENNINO
JACK A SIEGLOCK
JOHN R (Randy) SNIDER

## CITY OF LODI

CITY HALL, 211 WEST PINE STREET **PO** BOX 3006 LODI, CALIFORNIA 95241-1910 (209) 334-5634 FAX (209) 333-6795 July 10, 1991 Exhibit G

City Manager

ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk

BOB McNATT

City Attorney

Central California Traction Company Attention: Mr. Melish 1645 Cherokee Road Stockton, CA 95205

SUBJECT: Lodi Avenue Street Improvements

The City of Lodi has written you a number of times regarding the condition of your railroad tracks in Lodi Avenue between Cherokee Lane and Main Street. You have not responded to these letters nor to the issues raised in our field meeting held on September 28, 2988 (see attached copies).

Specifically our complaints are:

- I, The condition of tracks and the pavement adjacent to the rails is hazardous to the public.
- 2. The rest of the street is overdue for rehabilitation and the uneven grade and settlement of the tracks means that the rehabilitation project must be done concurrently or immediately after track rehabilitation.
- 3. Any track repair needs to be done in a "state of the art" manner with City approval to delay the need for future repairs.

At our September 28, 1988 meeting, a question was raised regarding the legal status of the tracks. You were going to research your records on this issue, although we have not heard from you on this. As we stated then, the original installation was done under the terms of a franchise ordinance with San Joaquin County (Ordinance #314, December 5, 1905). Subsequently, the street was annexed and there have been a number of agreements and ordinances which have modified the original franchise. They require that the railroad maintain the tracks in a condition acceptable to the City. One exception is a September 16, 1957 agreement under which tracks in Lodi Avenue west of Main Street were allowed to be abandoned in place and paved over by the City. You may wish to consider this as an option.

In any event, we need a response from you within 30 days in order to proceed with the project. Please contact Richard Prima, Assistant City Engineer, to set up a meeting to discuss scheduling the project. If you do

Central California Traction Company July 10, 1991 Page 2

not respond, we will be forced to pursue legal action through the courts and/or the Public Utilities Commission, since the City has now teen named in litigation related to the condition of the tracks.

Bob McNatt City Attorney

BW Westa

BM/RCP/mt

**Enclosures** 

cc: Public Works Director

Street Superintendent Assistant City Engineer

California Public Utilities Commission, Art Aldridge